

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Tradenark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATEN IS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-145
www.trip.o.gov

				_	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,133	11/13/2001	Geoffrey D. Bootle	68,142-031 (DP-303888)	6457	
7590 02/06/2004			EXAMINER		
Thomas Twomey Delphi Technologies, Inc. Legal Staff			MILLER, CARL STUART		
M/C 480-410-			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
P.O. Box 5052			3747	. /	
Troy, MI 48	007	DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		<i>A</i>	Application	N .	Applicant(s)				
			10/054,133		BOOTLE, GEOFFREY D				
		E	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Carl S. Mille		3747	Ua			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	исацоп арреа	ars on the c	over sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	aress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>27 Octo</u>	<u>ober 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disp sition of Claims									
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Sition of Claims								
Application Papers									
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	, for foreign n	والمصدد ووانسماني	- 25 II C C C 440(-)) (d) or (f)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 									
Attachmen									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F) Interview Summary) Notice of Informal Pa) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/054,133

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 15 – 19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 7.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greaney in view of Shipinski.

Greaney (Figure 1) teaches the basic governor mechanism of applicant's claims including a rotating metering valve, main governor spring and, most importantly, the details of the flyweight sleeve and washer. The washer moves with the shaft at low rpm as required by the claims.

Shipinski teaches a governor lever arrangement including a damping cylinder which both (1) damps movement of the main governor lever during running conditions and (2) holds the lever in the starting position at start-up in order to override the effect of the over speed protection. While Shipinski controls a fuel rack and not a rotating valve the result is the same in that the axial movement of the rack is translated into rotational movement to control a valve metering edge on the pump (which acts in conjunction with the cylinder wall). Both systems also use a rotating flyweight drive. It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/054,133

Art Unit: 3747

obvious to modify Greaney by using the lever system of Shipinski between the flyweights and the metering valve became both systems were pivoted–link (123 / 373) flyweight controls.

Claim 5 – 10, 12-14 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Miller at telephone number 703-308-2653.

Primary Exerninar

Page 3